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This resource sheet examines legal provisions requiring specified people to report suspected child maltreatment to statutory child protection services in Australia.

What is mandatory reporting?

The legal requirement to report suspected cases of child abuse and neglect is known as mandatory reporting. All jurisdictions possess mandatory reporting requirements of some description. However, the people mandated to report and the abuse types for which it is mandatory to report vary across Australian states and territories.

Who is mandated to make a notification?

The groups of people mandated to notify their concerns, suspicions or beliefs to the appropriate statutory child protection authority range from a limited number of specified persons in specified contexts (Western Australia, Queensland) through to every adult (Northern Territory).

The relevant Acts and Regulations in the Australian Capital Territory, New South Wales, Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania, Victoria and Western Australia contain lists of particular occupations that are mandated to report. Some states have a limited number of occupations listed, such as Queensland (doctors, departmental officers, and employees of licensed residential care services) and Victoria (police, doctors, nurses and teachers). Other jurisdictions have more extensive lists (Australian Capital Territory, South Australia, Tasmania) or use generic descriptions such as “professionals working with children”.

Many commentators have suggested that Western Australia is the only Australian jurisdiction without mandatory reporting requirements. However, Western Australia does possess targeted legislative requirements for the reporting of child abuse. Court personnel, counsellors and mediators are required to report allegations or suspicions of child abuse in Family Court cases, and licensed providers of child care or outside-school-hours care services are required to report abuse in a child care service. The proclamation of the Children and Community Services Amendment (Reporting Sexual Abuse of Children) Act 2008 (WA) will extend mandatory reporting requirements in Western Australia to doctors, nurses, midwives, police officers and teachers in circumstances where they have reasonable grounds to believe that a child has been the subject of sexual abuse or is the subject of ongoing sexual abuse.

Table 1 (pp. 2–3) provides an overview of who is legally mandated to report suspected child maltreatment to statutory child protection services in each state and territory.

In addition to state and territory law, there are provisions within Commonwealth legislation that relate to mandatory reporting. Under the Family Law Act 1975 (Cth), personnel from the Family Court of Australia, the Federal Magistrates Court and the Family Court of Western Australia also have mandatory reporting obligations. This includes registrars, family counsellors, family dispute resolution practitioners or arbitrators, and lawyers independently representing children’s interests. Section 67ZA states that when in the course of performing duties or functions, or exercising powers, the above court personnel have reasonable grounds for suspecting that a child has been abused, or is at risk of being abused, the person must, as soon as practicable, notify a prescribed child welfare authority of his or her suspicion and the basis for the suspicion (see www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/consol_act/fla1975114/s67za.html).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who is mandated to notify?</th>
<th>What is to be notified?</th>
<th>Maltreatment types for which it is mandatory to report</th>
<th>Relevant sections of the Act/ Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACT</strong></td>
<td>A belief, on reasonable grounds, that a child or young person has experienced or is experiencing sexual abuse or non-accidental physical injury; and the belief arises from information obtained by the person during the course of, or because of, the person’s work (whether paid or unpaid)</td>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>Section 356 of the <em>Children and Young People Act 2008</em> (ACT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NSW</strong></td>
<td>Reasonable grounds to suspect that a child is at risk of harm; and those grounds arise during the course of or from the person’s work</td>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>Sections 23 and 27 of the <em>Children and Young Persons (Care and Protection) Act 1998</em> (NSW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NT</strong></td>
<td>A belief on reasonable grounds that a child has been or is likely to be a victim of a sexual offence; or otherwise has suffered or is likely to suffer harm or exploitation</td>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>Sections 15 and 26 of the <em>Care and Protection of Children Act 2007</em> (NT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qld</strong></td>
<td>Awareness or reasonable suspicion of harm caused to a child placed in the care of an entity conducting a departmental care service or a licensee</td>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>Section 148 of the <em>Child Protection Act 1999</em> (Qld)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A doctor or registered nurse</td>
<td>Awareness or reasonable suspicion during the practice of his or her profession of harm or risk of harm</td>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>Sections 191–192 and 158 of the <em>Public Health Act 2005</em> (Qld)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner for Children and Young People</td>
<td>A child who is in need of protection under s10 of the <em>Child Protection Act</em> (i.e. has suffered or is at unacceptable risk of suffering harm and does not have a parent able and willing to protect them)</td>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>Section 20 of the <em>Commission for Children Young People and Child Guardian Act 2000</em> (Qld)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who is mandated to notify?</td>
<td>What is to be notified?</td>
<td>Maltreatment types for which it is mandatory to report</td>
<td>Relevant sections of the Act/Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **SA** Doctors; pharmacists; registered or enrolled nurses; dentists; psychologists; police officers; community corrections officers; social workers; teachers; family day care providers; employees/volunteers in a government department, agency or instrumentality, or a local government or non-government agency that provides health, welfare, education, sporting or recreational, child care or residential services wholly or partly for children; ministers of religion (with the exception of disclosures made in the confessional); employees or volunteers in a religious or spiritual organisations | Reasonable grounds that a child has been or is being abused or neglected; and the suspicion is formed in the course of the person’s work (whether paid or voluntary) or carrying out official duties | Physical abuse  
Sexual abuse  
Emotional/psychological abuse  
Neglect | Section 11 of the Children’s Protection Act 1993 (SA) |
| **Tas.** Registered medical practitioners; nurses; dentists, dental therapists or dental hygienists; registered psychologists; police officers; probation officers; principals and teachers in any educational institution; persons who provide child care or a child care service for fee or reward; persons concerned in the management of a child care service licensed under the Child Care Act 2001; any other person who is employed or engaged as an employee for, of, or in, or who is a volunteer in, a government agency that provides health, welfare, education, child care or residential services wholly or partly for children, and an organisation that receives any funding from the Crown for the provision of such services; and any other person of a class determined by the Minister by notice in the Gazette to be prescribed persons | A belief, suspicion, reasonable grounds or knowledge that: a child has been or is being abused or neglected or is an affected child within the meaning of the Family Violence Act 2004; or there is a reasonable likelihood of a child being killed or abused or neglected by a person with whom the child resides | Physical abuse  
Sexual abuse  
Emotional/psychological abuse  
Neglect  
Exposure to family violence | Sections 13 and 14 of the Children, Young Persons and Their Families Act 1997 (Tas.) |
| **Vic.** Registered medical practitioners, registered nurses, a person registered as a teacher under the Education, Training and Reform Act 2006 or teachers granted permission to teach under that Act, principals of government or non-government schools, and members of the police force | Belief on reasonable grounds that a child is in need of protection on a ground referred to in Section 162(c) or 162(d), formed in the course of practising his or her office, position or employment | Physical abuse  
Sexual abuse | Sections 182(1) a–e, 184 and 162 c–d of the Children, Youth and Families Act 2005 (Vic.) |
| **WA** Court personnel; family counsellors; family dispute resolution practitioners, arbitrators or legal practitioners representing the child’s interests  
Licensed providers of child care or outside-school-hours care services  
Doctors; nurses and midwives; teachers; and police officers | Reasonable grounds for suspecting that a child has been: abused; ill treated, or is at risk of being ill treated; or exposed or subjected to behaviour that psychologically harms the child.  
Allegations of abuse, neglect or assault, including sexual assault, of an enrolled child during a care session  
Belief on reasonable grounds that child sexual abuse has occurred or is occurring | Physical abuse  
Sexual abuse  
Emotional/psychological abuse  
Neglect  
Sexual abuse  
Neglect | Section 160 of the Western Australia Family Court Act 1997 (WA);  
Regulation 20 of the Child Care Services Regulations 2006;  
Regulation 19 of the Child Care Services (Family Day Care) Regulations 2006;  
Regulation 20 of the Child Care Services (Outside School Hours Family Day Care) Regulations 2006;  
Regulation 21 of the Child Care Services (Outside School Hours Care) Regulations 2006  
Section 124B of the Children and Community Services Act 2004 |

Notes: * Section 67ZA of the Family Law Act 1975 (Cth) applies to all states and territories.
What types of abuse are mandated reporters required to report?

In addition to differences across jurisdictions in the people who are mandated to report abuse concerns, there are also differences across jurisdictions in the abuse types for which it is mandatory to report. In some jurisdictions it is mandatory to report suspicions of each of the recognised abuse types (i.e., physical abuse, emotional abuse, sexual abuse and neglect), while in other jurisdictions it is mandatory to report only some of the abuse types.

Is the identity of notifiers protected?

In most jurisdictions (Australian Capital Territory, New South Wales, Northern Territory, South Australia, Victoria, Western Australia, Tasmania), the identity of notifiers—whether mandated or not—is explicitly protected (the issue is unclear in the Queensland legislation). However, in some jurisdictions there are limits to this protection. For example, in the Northern Territory, the identity of reporters is not disclosed to families, but may be disclosed to the Family Matters Court upon request.

About whom can notifications be made?

Legislation in all jurisdictions except New South Wales requires mandatory reporting in relation to all young people up to the age of 18 (whether they use the terms “children” or “children and young people”). In New South Wales, the legislative grounds for intervention cover young people up to 18 years of age, but it is not mandatory to report suspicions of risk of harm in relation to young people aged 16 and 17.

Although particular professional groups (such as psychologists) or government agencies (such as education departments in some states) may have protocols outlining the moral, ethical or professional responsibility or indeed the organisational requirement to report, they may not be officially mandated under their jurisdiction’s child protection legislation. For example, in Queensland, school principals and teachers are required to report suspected abuse and neglect as per Education Queensland policy, but teachers and principals are not mandated to report under the relevant legislation. Further, in some jurisdictions, agreements between authorities can establish reporting requirements. For example, in Western Australia, there is an agreement between the Department of Health, the Department for Community Development and the Western Australia Police that requires the reporting of all children under 14 years of age with sexually transmitted infections (STI) and the reporting of children 14 and 15 years of age with a STI acquired through abuse.

What type of concerns must be reported and to what must child protection respond?

Mandatory reporting laws specify those conditions under which an individual is legally required to make a report to the statutory child protection service in their jurisdiction. This does not preclude an individual from making a report to the statutory child protection service if they have concerns for the safety and wellbeing of a child that do not fall within mandatory reporting requirements.

A common assumption is that mandatory reporting requirements, the legislative grounds for intervention, and research classifications of abusive and neglectful behaviour are the same. In fact, mandatory reporting laws define the types of situations that must be reported to statutory child protection services. Legislative grounds for intervention define the circumstances and, importantly, the threshold at which the statutory child protection service is legally able to intervene to protect a child. Researchers typically focus on defining behaviours and circumstances that can be categorised as abuse and neglect. These differences arise because each description serves a different purpose; the lack of commonality does not mean that the system is failing to work as policy makers had intended.

What are the benefits of mandatory reporting requirements?

Mandatory reporting is considered to be a symbolic acknowledgement of the seriousness of child abuse. Mandatory reporting requirements reinforce the moral responsibility of community members to report suspected cases of child abuse and neglect. The introduction of mandatory reporting aims to overcome the reluctance of some professionals to become involved in suspected cases of child abuse by imposing a public duty to do so.

Mandatory reporting, and the publicity associated with its introduction, has been found to increase public awareness of child abuse, both within mandated professional groups and within the community at large.

Are there problems with the introduction of mandatory reporting?

As the introduction of mandatory reporting requirements within a jurisdiction tends to increase the community’s awareness of child abuse, in many instances it also results in a substantial increase in the number of reports being made to child protection departments. If there are inadequate resources available to the responsible department to respond to the increased demand, then the increasing number of reports may result in services being overwhelmed with cases to investigate, and lacking sufficient staffing to do so.
In order to cope with this influx of reports, some child protection departments have increased the threshold or level of seriousness of reports that give rise to an investigation; cases considered to be less serious may not be investigated at all. When mandated people report suspected cases of child abuse or neglect, they expect the child protection department to investigate and take action regarding their report. If this does not occur, there is a risk that such people may cease to make reports in the future.

Further details and information about mandatory reporting can be obtained from the relevant statutory child protection authority in each jurisdiction (see Table 2).

Further reading and references


Table 2: Statutory child protection authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Responsible authority</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>Department of Community Services</td>
<td><a href="http://www.community.nsw.gov.au">www.community.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>Department of Child Safety</td>
<td><a href="http://www.childsafety.qld.gov.au">www.childsafety.qld.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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